Atty

Alexander, Thomas M Jr (of Beverly Hills, for Administrator Jeffrey Martin)

(1) Petition for Final Distribution and (2) for Final Accounting, and (3) for Allowance of Statutory Attorney's Compensation and (4) for Extraordinary Attorney's Compensation, and (5) to Surcharge Personal Representative, and (6) for Liability on Probate Bond

DOD: 7/27/2005	THOMAS ALEXANDER, JR., attorney for	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	Jeffrey Martin, Administrator, is Petitioner. JEFFREY MARTIN was appointed Administrator on 5/23/06 with full IAEA authority and bond set at \$252,100.00. Bond was filed on 8/31/06 and Letters issued.	 Need Notice of Hearing. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the petition on: a. Steven Diebert – pursuant to his Request for Special
✓ Inventory ✓ PTC ✓ Not.Cred. Notice of X Hrg Aff.Mail X Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	I & A, part. 1, filed on 2/5/07 with a value of \$300,000.00. Creditor's Claims filed: Cancer Care Associates - \$1,105.65 Attorney fees - \$9,000.00 Attorney x/o - \$1,500.00 (for the filing of this petition) Costs - \$435.00 (filing fee	Notice. 3. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing on: a. American Contractors Indemnity Company (bond) b. Jeffrey Martin (Administrator/beneficiary) c. Melanie McClay (beneficiary) 4. Petition is signed and verified with a computerized electronic signature by the atternoy
Conf. Screen ✓ Letters 8/31/16 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report ✓ 9202 X Order X	Petitioning attorney states that it is his belief that the Administrator borrowed a sum of money (probably in excess of \$15,000) for the purpose of satisfying obligations and expenses of the estate. It is petitioner's belief that the loan was secured by the estate's real property. The intention of the Administrator that he would (1) distribute a portion of the loan to satisfy an assignment of interest of his sister and co-heir of the estate< Melanie	signature by the attorney. Need original signature. 5. Petition states that it is the attorney's belief that fees remain due to probate referee Steven Diebert. However the petition does not indicate the balance of the fees due nor does it request payment of said fees. Please see additional page.
Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice N/A	McClay, (2) make necessary repairs to the estate real property, and (3) assume the loan, in his individual capacity, after the close of the probate proceedings. Petitioner states he is unaware (1) whether any distribution of the loan proceeds was made, (2) whether any payment to Melanie McCray or any repairs were made, or (3) whether any payments on the loan were made. Please see additional page	Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 10/27/14 Updates: Recommendation: File 1 – McClay

1 Joann L. McClay (Estate) (Additional Page 1 of 2)

Case No. 06CEPR00372

Petitioning attorney states he is unaware whether any proceeds remain available for distribution. Petitioner is also unaware of the existence of any executed assignment of interest by Melanie McCray.

Petitioner request surcharge of the Personal Representative (1) to the extent of any breach of fiduciary duty or to the extent that estate funds have, through negligence or otherwise, become unavailable to the estate, (2) to the extent of any loss of her estate shares of the estate property by Melanie McCray, (3) of any monies that are due to the Probate Referee or any creditors of the estate, and (4) to the extent of any statutory compensation that are due this petitioning attorney, and for extraordinary services rendered in preparing this petition.

Wherefore Petitioning Attorney prays:

- 1. That the administration be brought to a close;
- 2. That this Final Account and Petition for Final Distribution and for Statutory and Extraordinary Attorney Fees and for Surcharge on the Personal Representative's Bond be approved as filed;
- 3. That the acts and proceedings of Petitioner as Administrator be confirmed and approved;
- 4. That this Petitioning attorney, be authorized and directed to pay himself \$9,000 in statutory and \$1,500 as extraordinary fees and \$435.00 for reimbursement of costs;
- 5. That the Administrator be surcharged in an amount calculated to pay the statutory and extraordinary fees and reimbursement of costs in the sum of \$10,935, and that Cancer Care Associated in the amount of \$1,105.65, and that distribution of on half of the remaining trust estate be paid to Melanie McCray to make her whole as the Administrator's co-heir. That any remaining estate property after proper payments of costs of administration and the ½ interest of his co-heir be paid to Jeffrey McCray, Administrator.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont.):

- 6. Petition does not contain a statement regarding Probate Code §216 and 9202(b) re: notice to the Director of Victims Compensation and Government Claims Board
- 7. Petition alleged Jeffrey Martin absconded with the estate. However the petition does not indicate what efforts were made to locate Jeffrey Martin.

1 Joann L. McClay (Estate) (Additional Page 2 of 2)

Case No. 06CEPR00372

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont.):

8. Attorney Thomas Alexander is requesting extra ordinary fees for the filing of this petition. The request for extraordinary fees does not comply with California Rules of Court, Rule 7.702. In addition,

Probate Code §12205 indicates the court may reduce the compensation of the personal representative or attorney for the personal representative by an amount the court determines appropriate if the court makes all of the following determinations:

- 1) The time taken for administration of the estate exceeds the time required by this chapter or prescribed by the court.
- 2) The time taken was within the control of the personal representative or attorney whose compensation is being reduced.
- 3) The delay was not in the best interest of the estate or interested persons.

Probate Code § 12200 states the personal representative shall either petition for an order for final distribution of the estate or make a report of the status of administration not later than the following times:

a) In an estate for which a federal estate tax return is not required, within one year after the date of issuance of letters.

In this matter there was no activity by the attorney or the personal representative from 2/5/07 until the matter was set for a status hearing on 3/7/14. The attorney and the personal representative did not appear at the status hearing on 3/7/14. An Order to Show Cause was issued and the matter continued to 5/2/14. On 5/2/14 the attorney and the personal representative again did not appear. The court imposed sanctions on the attorney for \$500 and continued the matter to 5/23/14. On 5/23/14 the attorney appeared (but did not file a written status report as required by Local Rule 7.5C). The attorney made representations to the court and the court rescinded the previously issued sanctions. The status hearing was continued to 8/7/14. On 8/7/14 the attorney appeared (but again did not file a written status report as required by Local Rule 7.5C) and the status hearing was continued to 9/25/14. On 9/10/14 this Petition was filed. There is no explanation as to why the estate was delayed for over 7 years.

9. Need Order.

2

Atty Knudson, David N. (for Petitioner/Administrator Laura Dozier)

First Amended First Account and Report of Administrator, and Petition to set Aside
Exempt Personal Property to Surviving Spouse

DC	D: 9/18/2007	LAURA DOZIER, surviving	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		spouse/Administrator, is petitioner.	Continued from 9/3/14. As of
		Account period: 2/4/2008 - 9/30/2013	10/24/14 the following issues remain:
020 032 05	ont. from 121113, 0314, 022414, 2414, 042114, 1914, 063014, 0314	Accounting - \$650,755.95 Beginning POH- \$650,750.00 Ending POH - \$338,000.00 Petitioner states certain assets that	Petition states the real property on South Cornelia was a single family residence, having been acquired by the decedent prior to his marriage (in April of 2006) to
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	were decedent's separate property	petitioner. Following the
✓	Verified	constitute exempt personal property eligible to be set aside to the surviving	decedent's death, Petitioner continued to reside in the home
✓	Inventory	spouse pursuant to Probate Code	with her sons, and over the next
✓	PTC	§6510. Petitioner requests the court set aside the following personal	fifteen months paid the mortgage, taxes and insurance on the
✓	Not.Cred.	property	property. Petitioner was unable to
✓	Notice of Hrg	2005 KTM Motorcycle Petitioner alleges prior to his death,	continue to make the payments and as a result the home was foreclosed upon. Petitioner is
✓	Aff.Mail W/	the decedent had given the motorcycle to Petitioner's son, Chris	requesting reimbursement of
	Aff.Pub.	Johnson. Although the motorcycle	\$25,050.00 for paying the
✓	Sp.Ntc. W/	had been given to Chris, title remained in the name of the	mortgage from her personal funds. The petitioner and her sons
	Pers.Serv.	decedent. Petitioner requests the	received a benefit from living in
	Conf.	court set aside the motorcycle to	the estate property. It appears that the payments towards the
	Screen	Petitioner (and Petitioner will confirm transfer to her son, Chris). In the	mortgage should be considered
✓	Letters 2/4/08	alternative, Petitioner requests that	rents for living in the estate's real property and therefore not
	Duties/Supp	the court confirm the gift of the	reimbursed.
	Objections Video	motorcycle made prior to the decedent's death.	
	Receipt		Please see additional page
	CI Report	Petitioner states the estate is not yet in	i ieuse see uuuiiioiiui puge
✓	9202	a position to close. An action was filed on a rejected creditor's claim.	
✓	Order	The estate defaulted. The estate now	
	Aff. Posting	is reviewing the situation to see whether it is possible to file a motion	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	to set aside the default. Petitioner	Reviewed on: 10/24/14
	UCCJEA	believes it will take an additional 4-6	Updates:
	Citation	months to close the estate.	Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice	Please see additional page	File 2 – Dozier

2 Sherman Wayne Dozier (Estate)

Case No. 08CEPR00017

Petitioner prays for an order:

- 1. That the First Amended First Account and Report of Petitioner be settled, allowed and approved as filed:
- 2. That all actions of Petitioner as Administrator, as set forth in the petition, account and report be ratified, confirmed and approved;
- 3. That the Court confirm reimbursement to petitioner of costs and expenses in the amount of \$17,480.00, and that petitioner is owed a balance of \$35,758.09;
- 4. That the exempt personal property described in the petition be set aside to the surviving spouse or alternatively that the gift of the exempt property to Chris Johnson prior to the decedent's death be ratified, and confirmed;
- 5. That the administration of the estate continue.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (cont.):

2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the residence was marketed for sale during the 15 months Petitioner and her sons resided there after the decedent's death. Probate Code §9600 states the personal representative shall manage the estate to the extent that ordinary care and diligence require that the power be exercised. It appears that ordinary care would include marketing the property for sale rather than letting the property be foreclosed upon. Need more information regarding this property. Was there any attempt to sell the property?

Note: If the petition is granted the court will set a status hearing for the filing of the petition for final distribution on **Wednesday**, **January 14**, **2015** at **9:00** a.m. in **Dept. 303**.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior the date set the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Barbara G. Yell (CONS/PE) Casey, Thomas F. III 3

Case No. 08CEPR00570

Atty

Atty Lind, Ruth P

Probate Status Hearing Re: Proof of Bond Increase

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:		
		OFF CALENDAR
		Increased bond filed
Cont. from		10/16/14
Aff.Sub.Wit.	7	
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC	7	
Not.Cred.	7	
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen	<u> </u>	
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt	_	
CI Report	_	
9202	_	
Order	<u> </u>	Bardania d han 15
Aff. Posting	-	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	- 	Reviewed on: 10/24/14
UCCJEA	-	Updates:
Citation	-	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 3 – Yell

4 Gerald Francis Poff (CONS/PE)

Case No. 09CEPR00431

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian – Conservator/Petitioner)

(1) Third Account Current and Report of Conservator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorney

Ag	e: 83		PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Account period: 09/03/12 - 09/02/14	
Со	nt. from		Accounting - \$52,996.41 Beginning POH - \$27,797.08	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Ending POH - \$2,369.12	
✓	Verified			
	Inventory		Conservator - \$4,527.32 (19.25 staff hours @ \$76/hr. and 31.92	
	PTC		deputy hours @ \$96/hr.)	
	Not.Cred.		αοροτή ποσισ © φ/ο/π	
✓	Notice of	_	Attorney - \$1,250.00	
	Hrg		(Less than allowed per Local Rule)	
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
	Aff.Pub.		1. Approving, allowing and	
	Sp.Ntc.		settling the third account; and	
	Pers.Serv.		2. Authorizing the conservator and	
	Conf.		attorney's fees and	
	Screen Letters		commissions.	
	Duties/Supp		Court Investigator Samantha Henson	
	Objections Objections		filed a report on 08/27/14.	
	Video			
	Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
	2620(c)	n/a		
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10/24/14
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 4 – Poff

4

Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator – successor administrator/petitioner)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Successor Administrator of Insolvent Estate and (2) Petition for Allowance of Ordinary Commissions and Fees

DC	D: 09/13/10	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATO		ccessor	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMME	NTS:
-		administrator, is Petition	oner.		CONTINUED FROM 06/11/14	<u> </u>
_		Account period: 08/3	30/13	- 04/23/14	COMMOD TROM CO, 11, 1-	'
	ont. from 061114,	Accounting -		\$8,800.00	 Petitioner states that it is whether the former adr 	ninistrator
09	1014	-		\$8,800.00	provided notice to the	
√	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Ending POH - cash)		\$923.56 (all	Health Services (DHS). E even if notice was not p	
▼	Inventory	=			there is no estate from v	
<u>,</u>	PTC	Administrator -		\$352.00	pay a claim. However,	
√	Not.Cred.	(statutory)			Code § 9202 requires no	
√	Notice of	Attorney -		\$352.00	the DHS if the deceden health care benefits fro	
	Hrg	(statutory)		4002.00	DHS. Therefore, the Cou	
✓	Aff.Mail w/				require proof of service	to the
	Aff.Pub.	Costs -		\$460.50	DHS.	i aku auk a u
	Sp.Ntc.	(filing fees, certified c	copies	5)	2. On 10/14/14, the Admir filed a supplemental Inv	
	Pers.Serv.	Creditor's claims:			Appraisal listing an add	,
	Conf.	RBS Citizen N.A		\$9,845.58	asset of the estate that	
_	Screen	Sierra Mobil Park -		\$4,069.54	accounted for in the Fir	
	Letters 05/19/11	Petitioner states that o	after (only partial	Final Account, therefore appears that an amend	
_	Duties/Supp	payment of commissi		, ·	account will be needed	
	Objections Video	costs in the amount o	of \$1,1	64.50, there	more information and/	
	Receipt	will be no funds availe			Amended First and Find	ıl
	CI Report	 of creditor's claims or distribute. 	i any	estate to	Account.	
	9202 X				Note to Judge: Examiner re	tained
✓	Order				the Order.	
	Aff. Posting				Reviewed by: JF	
	Status Rpt				Reviewed on: 10/24/14	
<u> </u>	UCCJEA	<u> </u> =			Updates:	
1	Citation	_			Recommendation:	
Ľ	FTB Notice				File 5 - Martin	

FTB Notice

Atty Boyajian, Thomas M., sole practitioner (for Conservator Irene V. Santos)

First Account Current and Report of Conservator and Petition for its Settlement,
 for Approval of Sale of Depreciating Property,
 for Approval of Donation,
 and
 for Allowance of Conservator's and Attorney's Compensation

			and (4) for Allowance of Conservator's and	Attorney'
Ag	je: 89 years		IRENE V. SANTOS, daughter and	NEEDS/P
			Conservator of the Person and Estate appointed on 11/8/2012 with bond set at \$123,895.00, is Petitioner.	Continu request issues fr
	ont. from 09081 0814	4,	Account period: 12/1/2012 - 6/30/2014 Accounting - \$223,182.60	1. Cou
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Beginning POH - \$164,620.32	has
✓	Verified		Ending POH - \$ 47,101.81 (\$42,601.81 is cash)	Expl 9/8/
✓	Inventory			redu
	Proof of Bond	Х	Conservator - \$13,425.00 (per Declaration attached as Exhibit B1; for 447.5 hours @ \$30.00 per hour;)	6/9/ 8/7/ pres
	Not.Cred.		101 447.3 110013 @ \$30.00 pc1 11001,)	8/27
✓	Notice of Hrg		Attorney - \$10,300.00 (per Declaration attached as Exhibit	filing bon
✓	Aff.Mail		A1; for 41.20 hours @ \$250.00 per hour, from 9/12/2013 to 7/28/2014;)	§ 23 redu
	Aff.Pub.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the
	Sp.Ntc.		Bond - \$123,895.20	INSU
	Pers.Serv.		(Order After Hearing filed 8/27/2014	redu \$12 3
	Conf. Screen		finds bond is reduced to \$35,000.00 ; bond is sufficient if Court approves	filing
	Letters	<u> </u>	requested fees.)	stan
	Duties/Supp		,	Cou
	Objections			con
	Video		~Please see additional page~	sped prer
	Receipt			inste
	CI Report			prer
/	2620	<u> </u>		amo
√		V		2. Nee
	Order	Х		Loca a pr
				subr
				requ
				~Ple
	Aff. Posting	<u> </u>		Review
	Status Rpt			Review
	UCCJEA	<u> </u>		Update
	Citation			Recom

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

<u>Continued from 10/8/2014</u> at the request of counsel. The following issues from the last hearing remain:

- urt records do not show proof educed bond of **\$35,000.00** been filed. Clarifying and lanatory Declaration filed on /2014 states the petition to uce bond was filed on /2014 and was granted on /2014, with the order sumably being signed on 7/2014. The Court requires the g of proof of the reduced nd pursuant to Probate Code 329, separately from the order ucing bond, indicating that surety, **HCC/U.S. SPECIALTY URANCE COMPANY**, has uced the bond amount from **3,895.20** to **\$35,000.00**. This g proof of reduced bond is ndard practice required by this urt to demonstrate that the nservatorship is bonded for the cific sum, and is not paying miums for the higher bond, but ead paying lower bond miums for the reduced bond ount.
- 2. Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1 (F) providing that a proposed order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief.

~Please see additional page~

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 10/24/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 6 - Murillo

First Additional Page 6, Helen Murillo (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00755

Petitioner prays for an order:

- 1. Approving and settling the First Account;
- 2. Approving all acts and transactions of the Conservator relating to the conservatorship;
- 3. Approving and confirming the sale of the Conservatee's recreational vehicle [appraised at \$12,500.00 and sold for \$1,000.00] as a sale of a depreciating asset;
- 4. Approving and confirming the donation to the local Salvation Army of Conservatee's furniture and furnishings [valued at \$960.00] as a donation of depreciating assets;
- 5. Authorizing and directing Conservator to pay herself **\$13,425.00** as compensation for her services rendered; and
- 6. Authorizing directing Conservator to pay the Attorney fees of \$10,300.00 as compensation for services rendered.

Court Investigator Dina Calvillo's Report was filed 1/6/2014.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued: These notes include notes that were originally prepared for the hearing held on 9/8/2014, with the declarations subsequently filed by the Petitioner noted in gray highlighted italics, for the Court's reference in considering the accounting as presented and supplemented. Rather than requesting an amended accounting be filed to incorporate the supplemented information, the accounting has been re-reviewed in conjunction with the subsequently filed supplemental declarations, and accordingly the accounting appears to be more complete and satisfactory in its explanations of the schedules submitted for the Court's consideration and approval. However, the proposed order that is requested to be submitted by Attorney Boyajian should include the repayments to the Conservatorship of \$195.44 and \$500.00 (as noted below.)

Notes Re Reimbursement to the Conservatorship Estate:

- Schedule C, Disbursements shows \$195.44 was paid to Attorneys Tomassian, Pimentel & Shapazian on 10/16/2013 for legal consultation fees in violation of Probate Code § 2647, which provides no attorney fees may be paid from the estate of the Conservatee without prior Court order. The estate of the Conservatee is not obligated to pay attorney fees established by any engagement agreement or other contract until it has been approved by the Court. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states the Conservator had intentions at the time of the payment to search for and obtain a new attorney and was under the impression that her actions were furthering the objectives and goals of the estate and Conservatee; Conservator submits that she will reimburse the estate \$195.44 for such disbursement.
- Schedule C, Disbursements shows \$500.00 was paid to Attorney Thomas Boyajian on 10/16/2013, and \$840.00 was paid to Attorney Thomas Boyajian on 2/6/2014 (totaling \$1,340.00) for Conservatorship legal fees in violation of Probate Code § 2647, which provides no attorney fees may be paid from the estate of the Conservatee without prior Court order. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states that the \$500.00 legal fees paid to Attorney Thomas Boyajian were in fact consultation fees for 2 hours of consultation offered to the Conservator prior to his being hired and becoming attorney of record; the Conservator made payments from the conservatorship assets instead of her own personal assets; therefore, the Conservator will reimburse the estate \$500.00 for such legal consultation fees. The \$840.00 reimbursement was erroneously phrased as conservatorship legal fees, though the disbursement was related to Court filing fees and publication fees in relation to the sale of Conservatee's home; thus disbursement does not violate Probate Code § 2647 (receipts attached as Attachment B).

Second Additional Page 6, Helen Murillo (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00755

<u>Notes Re Questionable Expenditures:</u> Schedule C, Disbursements shows \$163,620.79 was spent during the one and one-half year account period (12/1/2012 to 6/30/2014) and contains the following expenditures noted for the last hearing as being questionable, such that the Conservator Court may require explanation and/or reimbursement to the Conservatorship estate:

- 4/23/2013 payment for "What is this (Conservatorship Payment)" [quote from original] in the amount of \$833.00. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states this disbursement was made to pay the premium on a surety bond to suffice the requirement of bond for this conservatorship (copy of cashier's check at Attachment C.)
- 5/10/2013 payment to Golden Living for "Something" [quote from original] in the amount of \$7,910.00; payment on 8/14/2013 to Golden Living for "Something" [quote from original] in the amount of \$15,820.00. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states the original phrased payments for "something" were intended by the drafter to go back and make the proper entry but failed prior to the filing to make the entry; both disbursements were in fact to pay the Conservatee's skilling nursing home living.
- 6/14/2013 payment of \$21.96 and 12/23/2013 payment of \$589.29 (totaling \$611.25) to Data Central Collection Bureau. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states these payments to a collection agency for Conservatee's outstanding bills to American Ambulance and Community Medical Imaging (billing invoices and payment receipts attached as Attachment D).
- 3/24/2014 payment to Golden Living for "Conservatee's Residence Fee" in the amount of \$44,130.00. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states the Conservatee was behind on her payments due to the pending sale of her home and the ability to pay the outstanding balance did not become viable until the home was sold.

Notes Re Exhibit A1-A6, Declaration of Thomas M. Boyajian, Request for Attorney Fees, which contains itemizations that include:

- Charge of \$500.00 total solely for work on the publication in Business Journal for publishing the notice of sale of the Conservatee's real property. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states the sale of Conservatee's home required Attorney Boyajian to spend many hours over a seven day span preparing the template going back and forth with the Business Journal trying to fix and correct errors made to the proposed template; instead of billing the estate the full amount of time spent, he only billed a nominal fee.
- Total hours of **41.20** at **\$250.00** per hour stated as the hours representing the charge of **\$10,300.00** for Attorney fees appears to be incorrect, as the calculation of hours itemized actually totals **37.55** hours representing a charge of **\$9,387.50**, resulting in an **overcharge** of **\$912.50** to the Conservatorship estate for the requested attorney fees. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states this was a technical error and the correct hours of **37.55** are the correct total hours, and <u>compensation is requested for a total of **\$9,387.50**</u>. Attorney Boyajian filed on 9/30/2014 an Amendment to Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration Previously filed on 9/6/2014, which states upon review of his total hours, he would like to explain to the Court the likely cause of the technical error; initially when he drafted his attorney hours declaration his hours spent on this case exceeded 41.20 total hours; after some thought and considering the overall circumstances of the estate, he decided to take a "pay cut" and reduce the hours so it could be "fair" to the estate and better fit the circumstances of the estate; he would like to assure the Court that he has already brought down the total hours spent on this case and discounted his hours a total of 12.1 hours not billed for [itemized]; thus the original **\$10,300.00** request was fair and equitable and he requests that amount.

Third Additional Page 6, Helen Murillo (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00755

Notes Re Depletion of Conservatorship Estate Assets:

- Paragraph 10 of the Petition states that "estate assets are being depleted rather rapidly due to the 24-hour, 7 day-a-week specialized skilled nursing attention the Conservatee requires to prosper. As such, the initial bond amount required by the Court was set on the rather high value of the estate at that time. Currently, the estate value is worth much less." Noted for or the Court's reference is Schedule C, Disbursements showing itemizations for skilled nursing home payments of ~\$87,697.31 during this account period of the \$163,620.79 total expenditures. Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states that as presented in Schedule C, Disbursements, the total amount of expenditures spent on the needed specialized nursing facilities to house the Conservatee and provide needed attention equates to a whopping \$129,177.83 for this accounting period; herein lies where the majority of estate funds are being spent; there is not alternative to the extinguishment of estate assets because the Conservatee will continue to require such specialized nursing housing for which the price is extremely high; Conservator has attempted and applied on different occasions for government entitlements to step in and pick up the tab, but Conservatee's assets cause her not to qualify for such entitlements; as the Conservatorship estate assets are lessened, the Conservatee will in turn start to qualify for government entitlements which will then pick up the tab.
- More specifically informing the Court regarding depletion of assets is the **Petition to Reduce Amount of Bond filed 6/9/2014**, stating that "the bond in the amount of \$123,895.20 based on the value of the estate as of 6/1/2014 is excessive; the estate has been reduced significantly because: (1) The Conservatee has needed homecare at the cost of \$2,000.00 per month for a year; (2) For the past year and a half the Conservatee has lived in an assisted living facility center with the average monthly cost of \$7,500.00; (3) There have been expenses of \$10,000.00 to pay off the encumbrance on the 1993 Fleetwood RV, and various other estate related expenses." The following observations are provided to assist the Court in determining the reasonableness of having paid off the 1993 RV, which Paragraph 7 of the *Petition* and the *Schedule D*, Losses on Sales/Donations state was sold for \$1,000.00, resulting in a loss on sale of \$11,500.00, and for which the Conservator requests confirmation and approval of the sale as depreciating property:
 - It is unclear the basis upon which the Conservator chose to use \$10,000.00 of Conservatee's assets to pay off the encumbrance on the 1993 RV which Conservatee could not use and which was valued on the *Inventory and Appraisal* at \$12,500.00 as of 11/8/2012. The instant *Petition* states the 1993 RV was sold for \$1,000.00; it appears that this expenditure was not a frugal use of Conservatee's limited estate funds. Based upon the large disbursements and amounts requested for Conservator's and Attorney fees, it appears that the Conservator and/or her Attorney are spending down the Conservatee's assets, but provide no reasonable explanation to justify the significant expenditures from the Conservatorship estate.

Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration filed on 9/8/2014 states the 1993 Fleetwood RV was reappraised at the request of the Conservator because the prior appraisal filed with the Court on 3/7/2013 grossly overstated the value of the 1993 Fleetwood RV at \$12,500.00 and failed to account for all of the non-usable aspects and damage to the RV; [Reappraisal for Sale was filed on 9/8/2014] and was completed on 1/29/2014 by Probate Referee Rick P. Smith, with the new appraised value of the 1993 Fleetwood RV being \$1,000.00; the loss on sale mentioned in the accounting is superficial because the accounting used the original appraised value of the RV at \$12,500.00, when in fact the RV was not worth [that amount] and reappraised at \$1,000.00; the Conservator's rationale for selling the RV was because (1) The cost of repair to the RV was extremely high and not efficient for the estate; (2) The Conservatee is physically not able to use the RV anymore; and (3) The Conservator was starting to foresee storage fees being accrued against the estate for something not worth paying due to the reappraised value of the RV, thus the Conservator's acts were in line with promoting and preserving the estate; she was prudent and frugal in this aspect.

Fourth Additional Page 6, Helen Murillo (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00755

Attorney Boyajian filed on 9/30/2014 an Amendment to Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration Previously filed on 9/6/2014, which states that upon review of the issue regarding the unverified encumbrance relating to the Conservatee's RV, it was brought to his attention by the Conservator that the encumbrance was for an outstanding loan balance to Bank of America and said encumbrance was completely paid off by the Conservatee long before the inception of the Conservatorship; this the encumbrance did exist but it is moot in regards to the Conservatorship accounting; for purposes of the Conservatorship accounting and the new appraised value of the recreational vehicle set at \$1,000.00, the \$10,000.00 encumbrance should have absolutely no bearing on the accounting or on the question of whether the Conservator made the right decision in selling the RV.

Note Re Conservator's Commissions: Exhibit B1 entitled Declaration of Irene V. Santos, Request for Compensation contains the following itemizations set forth here as examples for the Court's consideration of the charges totaling \$13,425.00 requested by the Conservator be paid from the estate for services including the sale of Conservatee's real property, visits to the care facility where her mother lives, marshalling assets, paying all bills promptly, managing the estate frugally, and "to compensate her for the time she missed work to attend court hearings amongst other things:"

- <u>12/1/2011 through 12/17/2012</u>, charge of **96** hours @ **\$30.00** per hour totaling <u>\$2,880.00</u>, for paying bills, driving to locations to pay bills, phone calls for medical appointments, shopping for Conservatee;
- 12/1/2011 through 6/15/2012 [dates overlap the dates noted above], charge of 120 hours @ \$30.00 per hour totaling \$3,600.00, for hiring caregiver to assist Conservatee, checking in on Conservatee weekly to make sure groceries were bought and home was cleaned and to pay the caregiver;
- 1/1/2012 through 8/1/2012, charge of 81 hours @ \$30.00 per hour totaling \$2,430.00, for taking Conservatee to 18 doctor appointments both picking up and returning her home;
- 2/1/2013 through 6/1/2013, charge of 9 hours @ \$30.00 per hour totaling \$270.00, for meeting with Conservatee's health care facilities case workers, nurses and C&A regarding her medications, physical needs and any issues she had with the facility and her care.

Local Rule 7.16(A) provides that attorney fees and conservator commissions in conservatorship matters are awarded based upon what is just and reasonable. The Court's determination must be based upon the justness and reasonableness of the amount of the request in relation to the total value of the conservatorship estate. Here, the ending property on hand is stated on Schedule E, Assets on Hand as of June 30, 2014 as \$47,101.81, of which \$42,601.81 is cash. Deduction of requested Conservator's commissions and Attorney fees would leave a balance remaining of ~\$18,876.81, which will be inevitably less as of the current date.

Attorney Boyajian filed on 9/30/2014 an Amendment to Clarifying and Explanatory Declaration Previously filed on 9/6/2014, which states Petitioner is submitting a mileage log that Conservator kept track of between 1/3/2012 through 5/30/2014 showing in detail the Conservator's activities in relation to the Conservatorship; please refer to Attachment A showing Conservator expended 1,064 miles through this period, and highlights the many occasions Conservator was not at her normal job due to carrying out her responsibilities as Conservator.

Note: If Petition is granted, Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Thursday November 20, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing proof of reduced bond; and
- Monday, August 10, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing of the second account.

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Atty

Atha Lee Williams (CONS/PE)
Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian – Conservator)
Probate Status Hearing Re: Visitation with Son

		The FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		was appointed as Conservator of the Person and Estate on 8-1-13.	
		reison and Estate on 6-1-13.	
		On 9-9-14, the court set this status	
A SS Cools	14/21	hearing regarding visitation with the conservatee's son, ALVIN LEE WILLIAMS	
Aff.Sub		in response to the Court Investigator's	
Verified		Annual Review Report filed 9-3-14.	
Invento	ory		
PTC		Notice of Status Hearing was sent to all parties, including the Public Guardian,	
Not.Cre	_	County Counsel, Conservatee Atha	
│	OT	Williams, and her sons Alvin Williams and	
✓ Aff.Mai	1	Deran Williams, on 10-29-14.	
Aff.Pub		10-29-14.	
Sp.Ntc.		Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed	
Pers.Se	rv.	an Annual Review Report on 9-3-14.	
Conf.			
Screen			
Letters			
Duties/			
Object	ions		
Video Receip			
Cl Repo			
9202			
Order			
Aff. Pos	sting		Reviewed by: skc
Status F			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
UCCJE			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Not	ice		File 7 – Williams

Hopper, Cindy J (for Ruben and Erlinda Macedo – Maternal Grandparents – Petitioners) Calhoun, Ronald L. (for John Jaramillo – Father – Objector)

Status Hearing Re: Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Cont. from 092214 Father: JOHNNY JARAMILLO Father: JOHNNY JARAMILLO Personally served 12/06/13 Objection Filed 01/10/14 Objection Filed 01/10/14 Inventory PTC Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Minute Order 9-22-14: Ms.		TEMP EXPIRES 10-29-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Aff. Sub. Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff. Mail Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Conf. Screen Letters Doljections Doljections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Aff. Postino FTB Notice FTB Notice FTB Notice Aff. Sub. Wit. - Personally served 12/06/13 - Objection Filed 01/10/14 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Minute Order 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that it mother has passed and she needs to amend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Minute Order 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that it mother is appared and she needs to amend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that if the mother is demonstance and she needs to amend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that if the mother is demonstance and she needs to amend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that if the mother is demonstance and she needs to amend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship is extended to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship is necessary because the set of a mends the petition extended to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have		maternal grandparents, are Petitioners.	11-7-13. At Court Trial on 3-20-14, the parties entered into a
Verified Inventory Inventory Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Minute Order 9-22-14. Ms. Hopper informs the Court that the mother has passed and she needs to amend the petition. Mother continued to 10-29-14. Mother continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship was extended to 9-22-14. Minute Order 9-22-14. Ms. Hopper informs the Court that the mother has passed and she needs to amend the petition. Mother continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Tem		–1	
Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Paternal grandfather: DECEASED Paternal grandmother: DORA JARAMILLO Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Sibling: Johnny Jaramillo Deltetrs Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Inventory Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO — Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO — Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 11/07/13 Minute Order 9-22-14. Minute Order 9-22-14: Ms. Hopper informs the Court that the mother has passed and she needs to a mend the petition. Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Imporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to		-	
Notice of Hrg		- Objection Filed 01/10/14 =	
Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Paternal grandfather: DECEASED Paternal grandmother: DORA JARAMILLO Notice dispensed 12/12/13 Aff.Nail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Jaramillo Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Jaramillo Duties/Supp Objections Uideo Receipt Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Gilts Rpt UCCJEA Gilts Repetitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have		Mother: CYNTHIA MACEDO	exiended to 7-22-14.
Notice of Hrg Paternal grandfather: DECEASED Paternal grandmother: DORA JARAMILLO - Notice dispensed 12/12/13 Aff. Mail - Notice dispensed 12/12/13 Aff. Pub. Minors: Lauren Jaramillo and Jenelle Jaramillo - consent and waive notice Conf. Screen Sibling: Johnny Jaramillo Served by mail 11/22/2013 Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt Drive frame of the first father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children who he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the mother's illness or passing. The girls on two mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	 		Minute Order 9-22-14: Ms.
Hrg	Not.Cred.		Hopper informs the Court that the
Aff.Nail Aff.Pub. Minors: Lauren Jaramillo and Jenelle Jaramillo Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Sibling: Johnny Jaramillo Sputies/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Aff. Notice dispensed 12/12/13 Matter continued to 10-29-14. Temporary guardianship extended to 10-29-14. Note: Nothing further has been filed.			-
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FIB Nofice Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Fig. Nofice Aff. Posting Aff.			
Sp.Ntc. Sp.Ntc. Jaramillo Jaramill		– Notice dispensed 12/12/13 	
Sp.Ntc.	Aff.Pub.	Minors: Lauren Jaramillo and Jenelle	. ,
Pers.Serv.	Sp.Ntc.		exiended to 10-27-14.
Conf. Screen Sibling: Johnny Jaramillo Served by mail 11/22/2013	Pers.Serv.		Note: Nothing further has been
Letters	Conf.		
Duties/Supp	Screen		
Objections Video Receipt ill and is currently in hospice care. It is not anticipated that she will live much longer. The mother has nominated Petitioners to be guardians. The children have not visited with their father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have		Served by mail 11/22/2013	
Video Receipt Ill and is currently in hospice care. It is not anticipated that she will live much longer. The mother has nominated Petitioners to be guardians. The children have not visited with their father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Status Rpt	Duties/Supp	Potitionar allogos that the methor is terminally	
Video Receipt anticipated that she will live much longer. The mother has nominated Petitioners to be guardians. The children have not visited with their father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Reviewed by: skc Status Rpt Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	Objections	,	
CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt Citation FTB Notice Citation FTB Notice CI Report guardians. The children have not visited with their father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	Video		
their father for approximately 3 years. The mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Status Rpt UCCJEA Girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	Receipt	·	
Order Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Trib Notice Mother has custody of the children. Petitioners allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	CI Report		
Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice Try Notice Aff. Posting allege that the father is abusive and has a history of domestic violence in his relationships. Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the girls visited their father, he woke Lauren up and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	9202		
Nistory of domestic violence in his relationships. Reviewed by: skc	Order		
Status Rpt		■	<u> </u>
Citation and spanked her with a belt in Jenelle's presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	 	Further, Petitioners allege that the last time the	
FTB Notice presence. Petitioners state that the girls are afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have			-
afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have			
<u>i</u>	FTB Notice	afraid of their father and have not visited with him since that incident. Petitioners state guardianship is necessary because they are fearful that the father will try to take custody of the children when he learns of the mother's illness or passing. The girls do not want to have	File 8 - Jaramillo

8 Lauren & Jenelle Jaramillo (GUARD/P)

Case No. 13CEPR00984

Objections to Petition for Appointment of Guardian filed by John Jaramillo, Father, on 01/10/2014 state that he objects to the petition for guardianship as he is a fit and proper parent and there is no reason that custody should be with the grandparents. Mr. Jaramillo states that the maternal grandparent's hostility towards the father has made having a relationship with the children difficult. He states that he has been intentionally denied the right to visit with the children and to have them in his care on a regular basis and it is not deserved or warranted. Mr. Jaramillo states that he loves his children and can provide a loving and stable home for them.

Mr. Jaramillo states that the allegations of him spanking Lauren are false and misleading. He states that he did so when she chose her punishment but was not abusive in any way.

Mr. Jaramillo states it is not in the best interest of the children to allow the petitioner to continue to alienate him from his children and deny him his parental rights. He states he understands that the children are resistant because they have been coached, prodded, and rewarded to support their mother and grandparent's wishes. It is for these reasons that Mr. Jaramillo asks the Court to deny the petition for appointment of guardianship, or in the alternative, order that he have ongoing regular visitation with the children pending a psychological evaluation, counseling for both the children and father, and a further hearing in this matter.

Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a report on 01/06/2014.

William Leon Kermoyan (Estate)

Case No. 14CEPR00227

Atty

9

Coleman, William H. (for Leo Kermoyan – Administrator with Will Annexed – Petitioner)

Petition for Increase in Bond and for Authority to Administer Decedent's Estate

Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act With Full Authority

DO	D: 11-24-11		LEO KERMOYAN, Administrator with Will	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Annexed, with Limited IAEA and bond	
			of \$23,000.00, is Petitioner.	Note: If granted, the Court will set a
			B 111	status hearing for the filing of the
			Petitioner states that on his	increased bond as follows:
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		appointment, in order to reduce the amount of bond required, he	Wednesday, 12-10-14, at 9:00 am
~			requested that only limited authority	in Dept. 303
Ť	Verified		be issued to him.	ш Бері. 303
	Inventory		20 13300 a 10 111111	If the increased bond is on file, the
	PTC		At this time, Petitioner would like to be	status hearing may be taken off
	Not.Cred.		empowered to administer the	calendar.
~	Notice of		decedent's estate with full authority	
	Hrg		under the IAEA, and requests that	
>	Aff.Mail	W	bond be increased accordingly.	
	Aff.Pub.		Datition or started the and other against of	
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioner states the estate consists of real property valued at \$450,000.00	
	Pers.Serv.		with probably annual income of	
	Conf.		\$29,000.00. There is no personal	
	Screen		property or other income. Therefore,	
	Letters		Petitioner requests to increase the	
	Duties/Supp		bond to \$479,000.00.	
	Objections		Datition or balloves it is in the bast	
	Video		Petitioner believes it is in the best interest of the estate and those	
	Receipt		interest of the estate and mose interested in it that he be empowered	
	CI Report		to administer the estate with full	
	9202		authority under the IAEA, with bond of	
~	Order		\$479,000.00.	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 9 – Kermoyan

Hemb, Richard E. (for Floyd Green – Executor – Petitioner)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Executor and (2) Petition for Settlement, Allowance of Commissions and Fees, and (3) Final Distribution

DO	D: 2-26-14	FLOYD GREEN, Executor with Full IAEA	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		without bond, is Petitioner.	
		Account period: 2-26-14 through 9-15-14 Accounting: \$86,141.74 Beginning: \$804 (00.01)	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH: \$84,609.21 Ending POH: \$69,480.44 (cash)	
_	Verified	\$67,400.44 (Cash)	
>	Inventory	Executor (Statutory): \$3,440.00	
>	PTC	Attorney (Statutory): \$3,440.00	
>	Not.Cred.	= Anomey (statotory). \$3,440.00	
>	Notice of Hrg	Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will and agreement among heirs re vehicles:	
_	Aff.Mail w		
	Aff.Pub.	Floyd Green, as Administrator of the	
	Sp.Ntc.	Estate of Nancy Wood: \$33,200.00	
	Pers.Serv.	Floyd Green: \$11,066.74	
	Conf.	110 y a 21 3 3 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Screen	Diana Green: \$8,766.74 cash plus the	
>	Letters 3-4-14	2001 Chrysler PT Cruiser	
	Duties/Supp	Carrie Huggins: \$9,566.74 plus the 1994	
	Objections	Suzuki dirt bike	
	Video	SOZSKI GIII SIKO	
	Receipt	_	
	CI Report		
*	9202	_	
*	Order	_	Parismed house
	Aff. Posting	4	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt UCCJEA	=	Reviewed on: 10-24-14 Updates:
	Citation	=	Recommendation: SUBMITTED
~	FTB Notice	-	File 10 - Bunney
	TIPITOICE		The 10 Donney

11

Atty

Case No. 14CEPR00608

Midge Miyoko Matsumura (CONS/PE) Case
Lind, Ruth P. (Court appointed attorney for Conservatee – Petitioner)
Petition for Attorney Fees

			RUTH P. LIND, Court-appointed attorney	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			for Conservatee Midge Miyoko	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
			Matsumura, is Petitioner.	
			Johannes F. Schoemaker was	
			appointed as Conservator of the	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Person, and Johannes F. Shoemaker	
>	Verified		and Catharina M. Vandepavert	
	Inventory		together were appointed as co-	
	PTC		conservators of the estate, on 8-18-14.	
	Not.Cred.		Petitioner requests fees in connection	
>	Notice of		with the representation of the	
	Hrg		Conservatee for the conservatorship	
>	Aff.Mail	W	petition.	
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioner asks that she be paid from the conservatorship estate for 4.95	
	Pers.Serv.		hours @ \$250/hr as itemized plus the	
	Conf.		\$435.00 filing fee for this petition for a	
	Screen		total of \$1,672.50.	
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp		Itemized services include conference with the Conservatee, review of	
	Objections		documents, court appearance,	
	Video		drafting this petition.	
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
~	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 11 – Matsumura

- 12 Virgil & Emma H. Preheim Rev. Liv. Trust Case No. 14CEPR00623
- Atty Hogue, David M.; Karby, Michael G.; of H & K Inc. Attorneys at Law, Dinuba (for Petitioner Theda Preheim, Beneficiary)
- Atty Gunner, Kevin D., Law Offices of Gunner & Haught (for Mary K. Johnson, Successor Trustee)
- Atty Shahbazian, Steven L., sole practitioner (for Mary K. Johnson, Successor Trustee)

Petition for Instructions for Appraisal of Personal Property by Probate Referee or Personal Property Appraiser; to Determine Reasonableness of Trustee's Fees; to Determine Equitable Set-Offs; to Remove and Surcharge Trustee for Breach of Fiduciary Duty and for Failure to Treat Beneficiaries Impartially; and to Determine Entitlement

Virgi	I DOD: 10/29/20	000				
Emn	na DOD: 8/5/201	12				
Con	t. from 090314					
	Aff.Sub.Wit.					
✓	Verified					
	Inventory					
	PTC					
	Not.Cred.					
✓	Notice of					
	Hrg					
✓	Aff.Mail	W/				
	Aff.Pub.					
	Sp.Ntc.					
	Pers.Serv.					
	Conf.					
	Screen					
	Letters					
	Duties/Supp					
	Objections					
	Video					
	Receipt					
	CI Report					
	9202					
	Order	Χ				
	Aff. Posting					
	Status Rpt					
	UCCJEA					
	Citation					
	FTB Notice					

THEDA PREHEIM, daughter and Trust Beneficiary, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states:

- MARY K. JOHNSON, daughter and Successor Trustee of the VIRGIL PREHEIM AND EMMA H. PREHEIM REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT dated 10/22/1999 (copy of trust attached as Exhibit A), served her Second and Final Account and Report (account period 12/21/2013 through 4/23/2014) on 5/22/2014 (copy attached as Exhibit C);
- Successor Trustee claims a Trustee's fee of \$17,500.00 from the Trust Estate on Hand of \$293,516.90;
- Petitioner submits the \$17,500.00 is an excessive fee to impose upon the Trust estate and beneficiaries; this sum amounts to slightly less than 6% of the Trust estate (\$17,611.14);
- Petitioner submits that custom and practice supports a
 Trustee's fee between 2% of the Trust estate [amounting
 to \$5,870.32] and 3% [amounting to \$8,805.07] of the
 Trust estate;
- Petitioner submits that a Successor Trustee's Fee of slightly less than 6% is excessive and seeks an instruction from the Court that the Successor Trustee account for her activities and efforts that benefitted the Trust estate in such an abnormal and beneficial way to justify such an extraordinarily large Trustee's fee; and seeks instruction from the Court that the Successor Trustee produce her logs, diaries, timesheets, journal entries, mileage logs, and other writings and records that will substantiate the number of hours spent administering the Trust estate;
- Petitioner seeks instruction from the Court as to what is "reasonable compensation" for the Successor Trustee; Petitioner submits that the Successor Trustee's fee is skeptically random and subjective in amount, and questions whether the claimed fee was drawn arbitrarily out of the ether because of the animosity existing between the Trust beneficiaries:

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 9/3/2014. Minute Order states Counsel will meet with mediator. Checks for partial distribution in the possession of beneficiaries may be negotiated without prejudice or waiver of any rights by any party. Counsel needs to file status report prior to hearing per local rule.

Note: Please refer to Third and Fourth Additional Pages for recently filed Status Reports.

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 10/27/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 12 - Preheim

Petitioner states, continued:

- **Petitioner seeks instruction from the Court** that the Successor Trustee account for assertion that any and all property listed on *Exhibit A* to the Second Account, "*Items removed from Trustor's Home by THEDA PREHEIM*" were the property of the Trust estate and that the inventoried items of personal property were removed by Petitioner;
- During the life of Trustor Emma Preheim, a fire occurred on the Trust estate real property in 1996 (now properly sold as part of the trust administration) and a goodly portion of the personal property inventoried, "valued" and surcharged against the Petitioner by the Successor Trustee was actually destroyed years before the death of the surviving Trustor; a storage shed, the "Garden House" and ½ of a workshop, the garage and the barn;
- Successor Trustee did not visit the Trust estate real property between the death of Virgil Preheim in 2000 until 2012, when Emma Preheim died, creating a very strong inference that the Successor Trustee had little or no knowledge of the personal property constituting the Trust estate personal property assets; Successor Trustee made no allowance for the fact that Emma gifted away personal property items during her life, and for the fact that some of the personal property items taken by Petitioner when she moved from the property were her separate property, either gifted by Emma or earned and purchases as a result of her own effort, skill and industry;
- Petitioner seeks instruction from the Court that the Successor Trustee establish the basis for her belief
 that the property inventoried on Exhibit A was even taken by Petitioner; and instruction from the Court
 that the Successor Trustee establish the basis for her valuation of the personal property listed on Exhibit
 A and surcharged against the Petitioner's distributive share of the Trust estate in the amount of
 \$50,953.05;
- Petitioner seeks instruction from the Court that the Successor Trustee establish the basis for her surcharge of the distributive share of AMBER PREHEIM in the amount of \$2,285.00;
- Successor Trustee's valuation of personal property items appears arbitrary and capricious, constituting
 a breach of trust and of fiduciary duty on the part of the Successor Trustee; [examples provided of
 valuations obtained from E-bay of books, which Petitioner has no knowledge existed in the possession
 of Emma/Trustor];
- Successor Trustee's conduct constitutes a breach of trust and fiduciary duty, and an open blatant act
 of discriminating among beneficiaries and preferring one beneficiary over another;
- Successor Trustee's actions are grounds for removal or surcharging of the Successor Trustee's distributive share of the Trust estate in an equitable amount to be determined by the Court;
- To the extent that Successor Trustee makes a showing by admissible evidence that any particular
 articles of personal property belonged to the Trust estate and were taken by Petitioner, the Petitioner
 seeks an instruction from the Court that those articles be appraised by a California Probate Referee to
 establish some sort of credible value for the particular article in question;
- To the extent that the Successor Trustee cannot show by utilizing admissible evidence that any
 particular articles of personal property belonged to the Trust estate were actually taken by Petitioner,
 the Petitioner seeks an instruction from the Court that those articles be deemed lost or non-existent, or
 destroyed in the fire of 1996, but certainly not [emphasis in original] surcharged against Petitioner's
 distributive shares of the Trust estate simply because the Successor Trustee possesses the caprice to do
 so;
- [Paragraph 22, Pages 8 to 17, itemizes the personal property and valuations listed on Exhibit A to the Successor Trustee's Account, with Petitioner's allegations as to the existence or non-existence of each item and Petitioner's knowledge or non-knowledge of their dispositions to assist the Court in factfinding for resolution of dispute];
- Petitioner states the total surcharge against Petitioner [by Successor Trustee] for mostly imaginary property is \$35,306.00.

Petitioner prays for an order from the Court:

- Adjudicating, finding and instructing that all personal property of the Trust estate be appraised by the Successor Trustee by employing the property appraisal services of a California Probate Referee, or in the alternative, by employing a personal property appraiser chosen by the Court from the private section, like LALLY & ASSOCIATES, for example;
- 2. Adjudicating, finding and instructing to address the reasonableness of the Trustees Fee of \$17,500.00, including any instructions the Court might deem appropriate that the Successor Trustee produce file diaries, log books, receipts and any other writings showing her activities benefitted the Trust estate, and an instruction setting the Trustee's Fee at a level of "reasonable compensation" as mandated by the terms of the Trust:
- 3. Adjudicating, finding and instructing that the Petitioner be afforded and allowed all equitable Set-Offs that might be due and owing to her, as fairness and equity might demand, <u>and</u> that all gifts made by the Trustor/EMMA H. PREHEIM during the Trustor's life to the Petitioner, or any other beneficiaries, be excluded from the Trust estate inventory, and <u>not surcharged</u> against any beneficiary's distributive share of the Trust estate, and/or any other appropriate relief as the Court might deem proper and necessary;
- 4. Adjudicating, finding and instructing that the Court removes the Successor Trustee, MARY K. JOHNSON, for breach of trust and breach of fiduciary duty in her actions and conduct for preparing an accounting that seeks to surcharge Petitioner in an amount over \$50,000.00 by appraising property Petitioner doesn't even possess at ridiculously high values;
- 5. Adjudicating, finding and instructing the removal of the Successor Trustee, **MARY K. JOHNSON**, for her failure to treat all beneficiaries of this Trust equally as mandated by the Code;
- 6. Adjudicating, finding and instructing that the Successor Trustee, **MARY K. JOHNSON**, prepare an Amended Account and Report based upon actual appraisals of personal property, wherever that property might be located;
- 7. Adjudicating, finding and instructing that if the Successor Trustee, **MARY K. JOHNSON**, cannot locate and appraise items of personal property, those items of personal property cannot be inventoried as part of the Trust estate nor surcharged against any beneficiary's distributive share of the Trust corpus at the time of final distribution; and
- 8. Adjudicating, finding and instructing that the Successor Trustee, **MARY K. JOHNSON**, reimburse the Trust estate for the costs of bringing this Petition for Instructions, and/or that her Trustee's Fees be surcharged, or that her distributive share as a beneficiary be surcharged in an amount to be determined by the Court for her multiple breaches of trust, her multiple breaches of fiduciary duty, and her multiple acts of malfeasance, in an amount to be determined by the Court.

Petitioner [THEDA PREHEIM's] Status Conference Statement filed by Co-Counsel Michael G. Karby on 10/16/2014 states:

- At the hearing [on 9/3/2014] on Petitioner Theda Preheim's Petition for Instructions, it was agreed
 by all parties before the Honorable Court [Judge Oliver] engaging in mediation might prove
 beneficial, before the parties mutually initiate their respective discovery plans, both of which will
 naturally entail large amounts of time and expense;
- Concordantly, the Court made an Order that the parties exercise their best efforts to engage in mediation and set this Status Conference date [on 10/29/2014];
- Mediation of the matter [was conducted] by Leon E. Tirapell, Esq., on 10/23/2014 at 9:30 a.m. at Mr. Tirapelle's law offices;
- As such, the "status" of this trust administration action rests in precisely the same position when it
 was taken up last time by the Court [on 9/3/2014] at the first hearing of Theda Preheim's Petition for
 Instructions;
- **Scheduling:** Petitioner invites the Court's attention to the fact that this action has been placed "on hold," in essence, by the parties' agreement to engage in medication at an initial step toward resolution of this legal dispute;
- Accordingly, no discovery has been conducted;
- In the event that this action does not settle at mediation, the Court should calendar the Trial of this matter well into the summer of the calendar year 2015 [emphasis added] to enable each party to (1) propound discovery; (2) compel responses to discover, if needed; (3) compel further responses to discovery, if necessary; and then (4) move to seek an appropriate termination sanction or factual fining (as allowed by the Code);
- In point of fact, these multiple steps mandate by the Discovery Act, as amended, might not be
 possible to complete even in 6 months, considering codified time periods to propound and answer
 discovery, and the entirely legal but (nevertheless) agonizing slow and expensive remedies to
 compel further response and production of documents, of which the Court and all attorneys in this
 case are keenly aware;
- Further, "status" of the case may be provided by the parties at the actual Status Conference, which in this action will follow hard upon the parties' efforts at mediation less than a week before the Status Conference Hearing;
- The available dates for mediation and the Status Conference date itself simply fell in a coincidentally odd manner that prevented the parties from addressing "status of this case" more fully at this precise juncture.

<u>Note</u>: Proof of Service by Mail of Notice of Hearing filed 10/16/2014 shows notice and a copy of Petitioner's [Theda Preheim's] Status Conference Statement filed 10/16/2014 was mailed to all interested parties on 10/16/2014.

Status Report of Respondent MARY K. JOHNSON filed by Co-Counsel Steven L. Shahbazian on 10/21/2014 states:

- The Petitioner is Theda Preheim, a residual co-beneficiary of the Preheim Trust; the Respondent is Mary K. Johnson, the successor trustee and an equal 1/3 residual co-beneficiary of the Trust with her sister, Theda, and bother, Lyle Preheim;
- Status of the Pleadings: The Petition for Instructions was filed by Theda on 7/16/2014;
- The Response to the Petition was filed by Mary on 8/28/2014, objecting to the allegations and requests for relief;
- In addition, Declarations in support of the trustee were filed by Mary on 8/28/2014, and by Lyle on 8/29/2014;
- Mediation: At the hearing on the Petition on 9/3/2014, the parties stipulated to engage in early mediation and jointly selected Leon Tirapelle as Mediator; the Court approved the parties' agreement to mediate;
- Mr. Tirapelle thereafter agreed to serve and a mediation date of 10/23/2014 [was] scheduled for the parties;
- Current Status: There has been no change in the status of this case, as mediation has not been
 conducted and the parties have agreed to defer discovery, or further pre-trial petitions/motions
 until mediation is completed;
- The total disputed amounts (limited, generally, to charges/credits regarding personal property assets and trustee's fees) total ~\$52,000.00 and there is a desire to limit the costs and attorney's fees that may be incurred without an early settlement;
- The parties will advise the Court of the outcome of the mediation;
- If it is not successful, the Court should set Settlement Conference and Trial dates.

<u>Note</u>: Proof of Service attached to the Status Report of Respondent Mary K. JOHNSON filed 10/21/104 shows a copy of the Status Report of Respondent MARY K. JOHNSON was mailed to Attorneys Hogue and Karby and to Lyle Preheim on 10/20/2014.

<u>Note</u>: Fifth Additional Page through Seventh Additional Page contain notes from the initial pleadings filed in this proceeding.

Fifth Additional Page 12, Virgil & Emma H. Preheim Trust Case No.14CEPR00623

<u>Declaration of Mary K. Johnson filed 8/28/2014 states:</u>

- She has performed services in her capacity as Trustee as summarized on Exhibit A to her Declaration, and believes them to be fair and reasonable under the circumstances and conditions of this estate, since shortly after the time of the death of the Decedent, EMMA H. PREHEIM, on 8/5/2012, until the present time;
- Among other duties, she expended a substantial amount of time in evicting THEDA PREHEIM from the
 residential property belonging to the estate, along with the extensive clean-up of the property
 caused by Theda and her family members also residing in the property, in order that it could be listed
 for sale and ultimately sold;
- She also expended substantial time in preparing for sale and selling the Trust property located out of state (summary of activities and approximate time expended attached as Exhibit A);
- [Note: Exhibit A itemizes a total of **696.6** hours for activities which includes such things as funeral arrangements (7.0 hours), attorney consultations with Attorney Gunner (30.0 hours), eviction process with Attorney Dan Rowley (9.0 hours), sale of Kansas property (38.0 hours excluding travel and lodging) an estimated hourly rate based upon **\$17,500.00** fee is **~\$25.12** per hour;]
- Page 2 of Exhibit A explains that she has missed numerous days off of work, and it is estimated that
 over the course of 2 years since Decedent died she has missed ~21 days of work in order to perform
 services, mostly in the clean-up and restoration of extremely trashed Reedley residential real property
 to put it in condition that would make it available for sale and pass inspection;
- With regard to items of personal property relating to the estate, attached as Exhibit B is a summary
 explanation regarding her personal knowledge as to the identity and existence of the various items of
 personal property, along with an explanation of the methods she employed in arriving at valuations
 for such items;
- [Note: Exhibit B contains narrative describing personal property history and valuation methods used
 including online reviews of similar or identical items, Blue Book pricing, using lowest valuations from
 online research; lists items in possession of Decedent that were removed, sold, lost or hidden by
 Petitioner and for which Petitioner was surcharged];
- A great many items of the Decedent were in the possession of Decedent at the time of her death, or shortly before, and it is my informed belief that they were either removed, sold, lost or hidden by Theda or persons under her control as described more fully in the attached exhibits.

Declaration of LYLE PREHEIM filed 8/29/2014 states:

- It is his estimation that he spent between 200 and 300 man hours of time assisting his sister, Mary K. Johnson, in cleaning up the Reedley property, making repairs, throwing out 13 dumpsters full of junk and waste over the course of a period of time his sister, Theda Preheim, and her family had been evicted from the property; the property was a total mess and disastrous to clean up;
- He also knows from personal knowledge that many of the items of personal property belonging to his
 mother and father that were previously on the property were nowhere to be found, and it is his belief
 that his sister Theda and her family removed many of those items from the property; many items were
 in possession of his mother at the time of her death and he believes they were either removed, sold,
 lost or hidden by Theda or persons under her control;
- Trustee Mary Johnson has performed extensive services in her capacity as Trustee, and he believes them to be fair and reasonable under the circumstances and conditions of this estate, since shortly after the time of the death of the Decedent on 8/5/2012 until the present time;
- Among other duties, she expended a substantial amount of time in evicting Theda from the residential
 property belonging to the estate, along with extensive clean-up of the property caused by Theda
 and her family members also residing in the property, in order that it could be listed for sale and
 ultimately sold;
- She also expended substantial time in preparing for sale and selling out-of-state Trust property.
 ~Please see additional page~

Response of Trustee Mary K. Johnson to Petition for Instructions for Appraisal of Personal Property by Probate Referee or Personal Property Appraiser; to Determine Reasonableness of Trustee's Fees; to Determine Equitable Set-Offs; to Remove and Surcharge Trustee for Breach of Fiduciary Duty and for Failure to Treat Beneficiaries Impartially; and to Determine Entitlement filed on 8/28/2014 states:

- This action involves the administration and interpretation of the Trust executed by VIRGIL PREHEIM and
 EMMA H. PREHEIM, which as is common in husband and wife revocable trusts, provided for lifetime
 income to both Trustors, and at the death of the survivor (in this case, Emma) the entire trust estate
 was distributed to certain family members;
- In this trust, there was a provision that each "living grandchild and great grandchild" of the Trustors would receive 1% of the Trust estate;
- Upon the death of Emma, **19** persons qualified for this distribution, resulting in **19%** of the residual estate being payable to grandchildren and great grandchildren;
- After such distributions, the remaining residual estate was to be divided in equal shares to the 3 children of the Trustors, being THEDA PREHEIM, MARY K. JOHNSON, and their brother, LYLE DEAN PREHEIM;
- The Trustors recognized that there were (potential) loans to their children and provided that those loans would reduce his/her final distribution of the residual estate to the beneficiary;
- The Successor Trustee after the death of the initial Trustee is Mary, and the alternate if Mary could not serve, is <u>not</u> [emphasis in original] either of the children of the Trustors, but **SUZANNE RICHARDSON**, who is the daughter of [Trustors' son] Lyle Preheim;
- The Trustee prepared and served upon all interested parties two accounts, the First Account (8/5/2012 12/21/2013) and the Second Account (12/21/2013 4/23/2014);
- Among the schedules that the Trustee has included in the Second Account, and which has created
 the most detailed argument in the Petition, is the "Explanation of Charge Backs," which are primarily
 against Petitioner and total \$50,953.05;
- Petitioner does <u>not</u> [emphasis in original] attack all of the charge backs except those related to the
 "personal property charge backs" totaling \$35,306.00; therefore, the remaining "charge backs"
 totaling \$15,637.05 are <u>not</u> [emphasis in original] "disputed" which provides further instruction and
 evidence to the Court of the propriety of the accounting, the allocations, and the fees of the Trustee;
- Petitioner and her family members, for many years prior to and after the death of the Decedent, resided upon the Trustor's property (asset of the Trust) in Reedley; while this activity could have been tolerated while the Decedent was alive, and served as Trustee, such circumstance was not a reasonable use or allocation of trust resources after [emphasis in original];
- Based upon the declarations filed of Mary and Lyle, and any testimony which would be adduced at
 any contested hearing, there ensured a protracted battle between the Trustee and the Petitioner to
 remove the Petitioner and family members from the Trust property, to then repair and clean it, and to
 attempt to retrieve some value from the Trustor's personal property which had been on the premises;
- In this difficult process, the Trustee incurred substantial time, the Trust incurred substantial attorney's fees and costs, and the Trust estate was substantially diminished by the value of the personal property that had been "lost," taken or damaged by the Petitioner and her family members, all to the detriment of the Trust estate;
- As a result, the distributive share of the Petitioner as offending beneficiary was charged with the <u>direct</u> [emphasis in original] costs, expenses and losses incurred by the Trust as a result of her misconduct;

Seventh Additional Page 12, Virgil & Emma H. Preheim Trust Case No. 14CEPR00623

Response of Trustee Mary K. Johnson filed on 8/28/2014, continued:

Argument Re Powers of Trustee: [brief sum] There is an unsubstantiated argument in the Petition that the actions by the Trustee, as part of her administrative duties, and the "charge backs" against the Petitioner for the personal property values are improper, and that the Trustee should be "surcharged" against her fees and/or distributive share of the Trust estate for "multiple acts of malfeasance..."

There is no specific allegation as to what these "acts of malfeasance" are, other than generally charging the Petitioner for her own "wrongful conduct" during the Trustee's administration; the Petitioner's conduct was a primary cause of the actions that the Trustee was required to undertake for the orderly administration of the Trust and to comply with the distributive provisions of the Trust instrument that requires the division of the residual trust estate into equal shares to the 3 residual beneficiaries; Petitioner has made no comment nor specific objection to the entries in the Second Account under "Explanation of Charge Backs" of the item noted as "Eviction Costs" comprising attorney's fees to Daniel Rowley of \$3,985.00, which were incurred to evict the Petitioner and her family members from the Trust's real property in Reedley, and the Fair Rental Value of the property charged for Petitioner's occupancy after Decedent's death until she left of \$7,699.24;

Argument Re Trustee's Fees: [brief sum] The Trustees fees are not merely for the Second Account, but cover both the First and Second account periods, and represent the total fees from commencement of the Trustee's services to final distribution; Petitioner objects to the Trustees fees of \$17,500.00, with the claim that such fees are presumptively not reasonable compensation as stated in the Trust Agreement; the Petitioner has computed a sum between 2% and 3% of trust estate as the "custom and practice in this jurisdiction;" there is no specific "formula" to determine what are reasonable fees [citation to California Rules of Court 7.776]; under the difficult circumstances in which the Trustee found herself, the sum of \$17,500.00 for her duties in the administration of the Trust is more than reasonable and is quite modest; the request by the Petitioner to "remove" the Trustee is superfluous; the Trustee has rendered a final account and report, and subject to the claims of the Petitioner, will conclude the administration of the Trust upon the final distribution of its assets; the preference of the Trustors for the Trustee is to be shown great weight and is not to be disturbed without compelling cause.

Respondent prays for an Order that:

- 1. The Petition of Theda Preheim be denied, in full;
- 2. The Actions and activities of Mary K. Johnson, as Trustee of the Trust, be confirmed and approved pursuant to Probate Code § 17200(a) (5);
- 3. The Trustee's fees of \$17,500.00 be found to be reasonable pursuant to Probate Code § 17200(b); and
- 4. The Petitioner's objections to the Trustee's Second Account be determined to be without reasonable cause and in bad faith and that there be an award of attorney's fees and costs in favor of the Trustee against the Petitioner under probate Code § 17211(a).

Proof of Service by Mail attached to the Response filed 8/28/2014 shows the response was served to Attorneys David Hogue and Michael Karby on 8/28/2014.

Wesley Logan (Estate)

Boyajian, Thomas M. (for Fremon Graves – Special Administrator)

Status Hearing

DOD: 4-28-89	SPECIAL ADMINISTRATION EXPIRES 10-29-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC	FREMON GRAVES, Son-in-law, was appointed as Special Administrator on 8-27-14 with authorization to obtain a settlement check from the Black Farmers' Discrimination Litigation Claims Administrator and deposit same into a blocked account. At the hearing on 8-27-14, the Court set this status hearing.	 Need Duties and Liabilities of Personal Representative and Confidential Supplement DE-147 and DE-147S. Need verified written status report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5. Were the settlement funds obtained and placed into a blocked account pursuant to
Not.Cred.	siaros riedinig.	the Minute Order of 8-27-14?
Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail	As of 10-24-14, nothing further has been filed. On 10-28-14, Attorney Boyajian filed an unverified status report. See Page 2.	3. Need Receipt for Blocked Account (MC-356). Note: It does not appear that
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Note to Judge: The Examiner Notes from the original hearing on 8-27-14 are attached for your reference. There were numerous issues	an Order to Deposit Money Into Blocked Account (MC-355) was ever submitted to the Court for signature.
Conf. Screen	noted; however, there was some urgency due to a deadline to obtain the check of 8-30-14.	4. The original petition for appointment as special
	30-14.	administrator to obtain the
Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order	Regardless, there has been no follow-up regarding the issues that were brought to the Court's and the attorney's attention at the last hearing. These issues include: Need Duties The special administrator is not authorized	settlement check indicated that he intended to remit a portion to the IRS. However, the Special Administrator does not have authority to disburse estate funds; only to obtain them and place them into a blocked account.
	 to distribute funds. Need date of death of deceased spouse. Proper notice of administration was not served. The Court was never given a complete list of heirs and there were numerous blanks on the petition pertaining to whether there may be other heirs than Mr. Graves' wife. 	Does the Special Administrator intend to file a petition to probate the decedent's estate? If not, what is the anticipated course of action for these funds? 5. The Court may require accounting pursuant to Probate Code §8546.
Aff. Posting	Mr. Graves' wife is the decedent's	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	daughter; however, she suffers from	Reviewed on: 10-24-14
UCCJEA	dementia. Does Mr. Graves have authority to act on her behalf going	Updates: 10-28-14
Citation	forward? If she is the heir, will	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	conservatorship be necessary?	File 13 – Logan
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13

13 Wesley Logan (Estate)

Case No. 14CEPR00655

Page 2

Status Report (unverified) filed by Attorney Boyajian states:

The settlement funds from the Black Farmers Discrimination Administrators had to be claimed by 8-30-14. The Court's order of 8-28-14 was submitted to the Black Farmers Discrimination Administrators and to the Bank of America, Fig Garden Financial Center to implement the Court's orders. They spent about 2 weeks to a month with Bank of America attempting to implement the orders. The local bank stated they could establish a blocked account for the funds, but then they determined after a call to their legal department that they couldn't.

The Black Farmers Discrimination Administrator sent a check for \$50,000.00 instead of \$62,500.00 (as stated in the Court order) because \$12,500.00 was paid directly to the IRS. The bank would not accept the check because it had a different amount than stated in the order.

Because the check had to be deposited before 9-25-14, it was incumbent that it be deposited or the check would void. Therefore, the check was deposited at the Special Administrator's branch where his personal funds are deposited in a separate account in the name of the Estate of Wesley Logan at the Union Bank at Shaw and Marks. At this time, Union Bank is awaiting a Court Order to block the account.

Mr. Boyajian requests to continue the special administration for a reasonable time to implement the Court's orders and distribute funds to Rachel Graves.

Powell, Hanno T., of Powell and Pool (for Petitioner Kimberly K. Hall)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 11/14/2013		KIMBERLY KYLE HALL, daughter, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Petitioner, and requests appointment	
		as Executor without bond.	CONTINUED FROM 10/01/14
		=	1. The Petition indicates that the
Co	nt. from 100114	Full IAEA – OK	decedent had a will, however, no
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Will dated – NEED WILL	copy of the will is attached to the petition and the original will does not
✓	Verified	7	appear to have been deposited with
	Inventory	Residence: Fresno	the Court. Need copy of will and
	PTC	Publication: The Business Journal	original will deposited with the court.
	Not.Cred.	Estimated Value of the Estate:	2. The petition is not marked at item
✓	Notice of	Personal property - \$300,000.00	5(a)(7) or (8) regarding issue of a predeceased child.
	Hrg		predeceased crilia.
√	Aff.Mail v	Probate Referee: RICK SMITH	3. Need Confidential Supplement to
✓	Aff.Pub.		Duties and Liabilities.
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		4. Need Order & Letters.
	Conf.		
	Screen		Note: The petition is requesting
	Letters x		appointment as Executor without bond.
✓	Duties/Supp		The Examiner is unable to verify these details without a copy of the will. The
	Objections		petition cannot go forward without a
	Video		copy of the will and the original will must
	Receipt		be deposited with the court.
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order x		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	_	Reviewed on: 10/24/14
	UCCJEA	_	Updates:
	Citation	_	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 15 - Oppelt

15

Fanucchi, Edward L. (for Lilian Bowes – Petitioner – Mother)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

Age: 60			LILIAN BOWES, mother is petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 01/10/1954			requests appointment as Conservator of the person, with medical consent and for appointment as conservator of estate without bond.	Continued from 10/01/2014
			Wilhout bond.	Need Capacity Declaration.
Со	nt. from 100114	1	Estimated value of the Estate:	2. Need Video Receipt pursuant to
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Personal property - \$33,694.87	Local Rule 7.15.8(A).
✓	Verified		Annual income - \$68,093.75	3. Petition requests that bond be
	Inventory		Capacity Declaration – Need	waived. Probate Code §2320 states
	PTC		. ,	except as otherwise provided by
	Not.Cred.		Petitioner states: the proposed conservatee	statute, every person appointed as
✓	Notice of Hrg		is a patient in the U.S. Veteran's Hospital in Fresno, California. He is being administered	conservator shall, before letters are issued, give a bond approved by
1	Aff.Mail	w/	75mg of Thorazine twice daily and 1mg of Klonopin three times daily. He is diagnosed	the court. Probate Code §2321 states Notwithstanding any other
	Aff.Pub.		with schizophrenia. His medical condition is	provision of the law, the court in a
	Sp.Ntc.		a result of injuries suffered while serving in the armed forces of the United States of	conservatorship proceeding may
✓	Pers.Serv.	w/	America. Because of the heavy doses of	not waive the filing of a bond or reduce the amount of bond
1	Conf.		medication he is unable to tend to his daily	required without a good cause
	Screen		needs, such as dressing, handling his	determination by the court that the
✓	Letters		financial affairs, to live outside the facility, to communicate with his family, to live a normal	conservatee will not suffer harm as a result of the waiver or reduction of
✓	Duties/Supp		life. Due to the medical condition of the	the bond. If the court requires bond
	Objections		proposed conservatee and the heavy doses of medication administered to him on a daily	it should be set at \$74,903.13.
	Video	Х	basis, he is unable to handle his financial	
	Receipt		affairs, and is unable to resist fraud or undue	
✓	CI Report		influence.	
	9202		Nomination of Proposed Conservator by	
✓	Order		proposed Conservatee filed 09/29/2014.	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt		Court Investigator Julie Negrete's report filed 09/19/2014.	Reviewed on: 10/24/2014
	UCCJEA		Please see additional page	Updates:
✓	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 16 - Howard

16 (additional page) Larry Dean Howard (CONS/PE) Case No. 14CEPR00784

On 09/05/2014, this investigator made contact with the proposed conservator, Lillian Bowes, and was informed that Mr. Howard had been moved to Canyon Manor in Novato, California. (Canyon Manor is licensed as a locked 24 hour Mental Health Rehabilitation Center and Psychiatric Rehabilitation Program.) On 09/09/2014, a reciprocal request was sent to Marin County Superior Court to make contact with the proposed conservatee.

On 09/18/2014, this investigator received a call from Scott Decada from Marin County Superior Court. Mr. Decada reported that their two court investigators were both out on a medical leave of absence. Therefore, he said that Marin County is not able to conduct the reciprocal request. He stated that one of their court investigators is expected back in two to three weeks, but was unsure the exact date.

Needs/Problems/Comments continued:

- 4. Need proof of service at least fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Conservator for:
 - Veteran's Affairs

Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows:

- Wednesday, 11/26/2014 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the Bond and
 Wednesday, 02/25/2015 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal and
- Wednesday, 01/27/2016 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first account and final distribution.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

In Re: Sam E. Nielsen Trust "B" (Trust)

Herold, Kim M. (for Arlene Nielsen – Co-Settlor – Co-Trustee – Petitioner)

Petition for Order Approving Modification of Trust Terms Under Probate Code Section 15403

	ARLENE NIELSEN, Co-Settlor, Co-Trustee and beneficiary of the Sam E. Nielsen Trust "B", is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC	Petitioner states the Sam E. Nielsen and Arlene Nielsen Family Trust was established 8-6-98 by and between Sam E. Nielsen and Petitioner as Settlors and Co-Trustees. The trust was amended 1-23-08 wherein Sam E. Nielsen resigned as co-trustee and the settlors' daughters, Patricia D. Nielsen and Carolyn Lee Kurtis, were appointed to act with Arlene Nielsen as Co-Trustees.	
Not.Cred. V Notice of Hrg V Aff.Mail W Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen	Sam E. Nielsen died 11-24-08. After his death, the trust was split into two subtrusts, namely, the Arlene Nielsen Trust "A" (the survivor's trust), and the Sam E. Nielsen Trust "B" (the bypass trust). Trust "A" is revocable and amendable by the surviving settlor. Trust "B" is irrevocable. There have been no modifications of either of the subtrusts as of this date. Petitioner states the settlors' intent with regard to Trust "B" is expressly set forth in Paragraph 9E, wherein the settlors state that their primary concern is the welfare of the	
Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt	surviving spouse, and that the interest of others in the trust are secondary. Petitioner has recently moved to assisted care, which has significantly increased the cost of her care and it is her understanding that the cost will continue to substantially increase.	
9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt	Under Paragraph 9C of Trust "B," distributions from principal on behalf of Petitioner are limited by an ascertainable standard with the trustee directed to look first to funds in Trust "A." Such directions are inconsistent with the settlors' intention s set forth in 9E.	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on:
UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	Petitioner seeks to modify the terms of Paragraph 9C of Trust "B" to provide that the trustee may pay to or apply to the benefit of the Surviving Spouse such sums out of the principal of Trust "B" as necessary for the Surviving Spouse's comfort, in addition to her health, education, support and maintenance, and without application first to the funds in Trust "A."	10-24-14 Updates: Recommendation: File 17 – Nielsen
	Petitioner provides the proposed modification language for Paragraph 9C at #5 of the Petition on Page 3. Consents of all beneficiaries including Petitioner, Carolyn Lee Kurtis, and Patricia D. Nielsen, are attached to the petition. Pursuant to §15403, if all beneficiaries consent, they may compel modification of an otherwise irrevocable trust.	
	Petitioner prays for an order of this Court: 1. Approving the modification as set forth in the petition; and 2. Such further relief as the Court deems proper.	17

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

DO	D: 5-10-10		ANTHONY CALDERON, MICHAEL	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			CALDERON, DANIEL CALDERON, JR.,	
			YVONNE CALDERON, MELSSA	
			CALDERON, and ANGELA CALDERON, adult children of the	
			decedent, are Petitioners.	Update: Supplemental declaration of
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		decedent, are remoners.	Attorney Jonathon L. Petty cured all defects.
~	Verified		40 days since DOD	
~	Inventory		No other proceedings	
	PTC		The enter proceedings	
	Not.Cred.		I&A: \$145,000.00	
~	Notice of		(Decedent's 100% interest in certain	
	Hrg		real property in Kerman)	
~	Aff.Mail	W		
	Aff.Pub.		Decedent died intestate	
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioners request Court	
	Pers.Serv.		determination that the decedent's	
	Conf.		real property passes to them in 1/6	
	Screen		undivided interests each.	
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
~	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 18 – Calderon

Coleman, William H (for J. Charles Howe – Administrator)

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DO	D: 02/21/2014	J. CHARLES HOWE, son was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Administrator with full IAEA without bond on	
		05/08/2014.	OFF CALENDAR. Inventory and
		Letters issued 05/12/2014	Appraisal filed 10/28/2014.
Со	nt. from 100814	Letters issued 05/12/2014	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Minute Order of 05/08/2014 set this Status	Minute Order of 10/08/2014 (Judge
	Verified	Hearing for the filing of the Inventory and	Cardoza): Counsel reports the inventory
	Inventory	Appraisal.	and appraisal is ready and just needs to be signed.
	PTC		be signed.
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of		
	Hrg		
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf.		
	Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 10/24/2014
	UCCJEA		Updates: 10/28/2014
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 19 - Beard

Sarah Alvarado (CONS/PE) Case N Kruthers, Heather H. (for Fresno County Public Guardian – Conservator) Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

		The FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN was appointed as Conservator of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Person and Estate on 5-29-14.	1. Need Inventory and Appraisal
		At the hearing on 5-29-14, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the	pursuant to Probate Code §2610 or verified written status report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Inventory and Appraisal.	polocalii lo zooai kolo i loi
Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			
Aff.Mail	Ì		
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 20 – Alvarado

Diaz, Gloria C. (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner) Atty Atty

Diaz, Paul C. (Pro Per – Maternal Uncle – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardianship of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

			GENERAL HEARING 12-17-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			GLORIA DIAZ, Maternal Grandmother, and PAUL DIAZ, Maternal Uncle, are Petitioners.	The proof of personal service of Notice of Hearing on the mother is incomplete. It does
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order	w/o	Father: UNKNOWN - Diligence filed 10-16-14 Mother: BRENDA L. DIAZ - Personally served 10-19-14 Paternal Grandparents: Unknown Maternal Grandfather: Charles Diaz, Jr. Petitioner states: Once again, the mother is still on drugs. She as another open case with CPS. After calling the police Case #14-68542. Petitioners feel that Leonor is in danger because more than once the mother has said that she will kill herself. Petitioner requests to be excused from giving notice to the father because she has no knowledge of him and heard that he was deported to Mexico for drug convictions. The UCCJEA indicates that the minor has lived with Petitioners since 2010.	not contain the server's information and does not indicate whether a copy of the temp petition was served on the mother. The Court may require amended service pursuant to Probate Code §2250(e). 2. If diligence is not found for the father, need service pursuant to Probate Code §2250(e) or further diligence.
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-24-14
~	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 21 – Lachino
				21

Pro Per Wood, Traci Jo (Pro Per Petitioner, paternal aunt) Pro Per

Carver, Beth Ellen (Pro Per Petitioner, non-relative)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Termination of Guardianship in Tulare County

			Probate Status Hearing Re: Termination of	Guardianship in Tulare County
Ag	Age: 15 years		TRACI JO WOOD, paternal aunt, and BETH	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			ELLEN CARVER, non-relative, are Petitioners. PAUL FORTIER and SHELLY FORTIER, paternal	Notes: Minute Order dated 9/17/2014 set this
Ļ			aunt and her husband, were appointed	Status Hearing regarding the termination of the Guardianship case
Co	nt. from	1	Successor Co-Guardians of the child	existing in Tulare County, stating as
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		through Tulare County Child Welfare	follows: "Petition granted, effective
✓	Verified		Services in 2010.	when proof of termination of
	Inventory		PAUL FORTIER and SHELLY FORTIER consent	Guardianship in case J58194A, B, C is filed. If filed, no appearance needed
	PTC		and waive notice.	at hearing [on 10/29/2014.]"
	Not.Cred.		_ ,, ,	Order Appointing Guardian and
✓	Notice of Hrg		Father: DONALD WOOD ; consents and waives notice.	Letters of Guardianship have not been issued due to the fact that
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Mother: LORI BECK; consents and waives notice.	Petitioners have not provided the
	Aff.Pub.		nonce.	Court with the required proof of
	Sp.Ntc.		Minor consents and waives notice.	termination.
	Pers.Serv.			Need proof of termination of Tulare
✓	Conf. Screen		Paternal grandfather: Allen Wood; sent notice by mail 8/22/2014.	County Guardianship Case J58194A, B, C. Proposed ward and his two
	Aff. Posting		Paternal grandmother: Gwen Wood; sent	siblings had been under guardianship
1	Duties/Supp		notice by mail 8/22/2014.	with Petitioner TRACI JO WOOD
	Objections		Maternal grandfather: Unknown	through Tulare County Child Welfare
	Video		Maternal grandmother: Deceased	Services from 2006 – 2010. Petitioner states that PAUL FORTIER and SHELLY
	Receipt		Petitioners state that on 2/17/2006,	FORTIER, paternal aunt and her
✓	CI Report		Petitioner Traci Jo Wood was designated as the legal Guardian of Larry Wood, Sarah	husband, were appointed Successor Co-Guardians in 2010, after the
✓	Clearances		Wood and Rebecca Wood (triplets), Tulare	resignation of Petitioner Traci Jo
√	Order		County Child Welfare Services, and she remained Guardian until September 2010 when events in Ms. Wood's life forced her to resign as Guardian, though she would have preferred to remain as Guardian; ~Please see additional page~	Wood. Pursuant to Probate Code § 2203(b), it appears this guardianship may not be granted until the Petitioners provide evidence to our Court that the guardianship established in Tulare County has been effectively terminated.
✓	Letters			Reviewed by: LEG
<u> </u>	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10/24/14
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 22 - Wood
۸ ما د	dili a marl Darara	- 00	Larry Marley Wood (CIIAPD/P)	Case No. 14CEPP00414

Additional Page 22, Larry Morley Wood (GUARD/P)

Case No. 14CEPR00614

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

Petitioners' statements from the initial Petition filed 7/15/2014 noted below for case background:

- The original guardianship was completed in Tulare County due to the fact that the children and their parents were residents of Tulare County;
- However, since Traci was originally awarded guardianship through to the guardianship being shifted to Paul and Shelly Fortier, Larry and his sisters have continually been residents of Fresno County (Kingsburg);
- Concurrent to Traci being named Guardian, the Dependency status of the three children [in Tulare County] was terminated; as such they have not been wards of the Court for some time;
- Larry stated a preference to return to Traci's care, and that of her partner, Co-Petitioner Ellen Carver, as his Guardians, and all family members relevant to Larry's care have deliberated on this issue together and they have determined that they should pursue a change in the guardianship;
- Larry has requested this change, and his parents and the current Guardians (the Fortiers) are in agreement;
- Petitioner Traci Wood received direction from Tulare County staff that since Larry is no longer a dependent of the
 Court, and he is a resident of Fresno County, the request for guardianship should be submitted to the Fresno
 County Superior Court due to the fact that jurisdiction over Larry is retained in Fresno where he resides;
- Through Larry's life, they have shared a strong bond of mutual respect, trust and love; they have an continue to provide consistency for him, and share a strong connection with him;
- The current Guardians are struggling with raising 3 teenage triples, and Petitioners' assuming guardianship of Larry would give Larry more individualized attention;
- Larry has thrived with the individual attention he has received this past year living with Petitioners.

Court Investigator Jennifer Young's Report was filed on 9/9/2014.

Atty Santiesteban, Lilia (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

			TEMP EXPIRES 10-29-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			LILIA SANTIESTEBAN , Maternal Grandmother, is Petitioner.	Continued from 10-1-14. If this petition goes forward, the following issues remain:
Со	nt. from 10011	4	Father (Lilia): CARLOS CHRISTOPHER	1. Need Notice of Hearing.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		CAPUCHIN	1. Need Notice of Healing.
~	Verified			2. Need proof of personal service of
	Inventory		Father: (Micah and McKenzie): MICHAEL JASON WYATT	Notice of Hearing with a copy of the
	PTC		- appeared at temp hearing 10-1-14	petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 or
	Not.Cred.		appeared at temp fleating to 1 14	consent and waiver of notice on:
	Notice of	Х	Mother: LIZBETTE DE JESUS VALENZUELA -	- Carlos Christopher Capuchin
	Hrg		appeared at temp hearing 10-1-14	(Lilia's Father) - Michael Jason Wyatt (Micah and
	Aff.Mail	Х		McKenzie's father)
	Aff.Pub.		Paternal Grandfather (Lilia):	,
	Sp.Ntc.		Freddy Capuchin Paternal Grandmother (Lilia):	3. Need proof of service of Notice of
	Pers.Serv.	Х	Lizbette De Jesus Valenzuela	Hearing with a copy of the petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing
	Conf.			per Probate Code §1511 or consent
	Screen		Paternal Grandfather (Micah and	and waiver of notice <u>or</u> declaration
~	Letters		McKenzie): Ernie Wyatt	of due diligence on:
	Duties/Supp		Paternal Grandmother (Micah and	- Freddy Capuchin - Lizbette De Jesus Valenzuela
	Objections		McKenzie): Sharon Wyatt	- Ernie Wyatt
	Video		Maternal Grandfather:	- Sharon Wyatt
	Receipt		Enrique Valenzuela	- Enrique Valenzuela
>	CI Report		,	
~	Clearances		Petitioner states the parents are not able	
~	Order		to care for the children properly. The	
	Aff. Posting		mother is abusing drugs. Lilia's father is	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		incarcerated.	Reviewed on: 10-24-14
~	UCCJEA		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a	Updates:
	Citation		report on 10-20-14.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 23 - Wyatt

23

Moreno, Henry (Pro Per – Maternal Grandfather – Petitioner)

O'Campo, Leticia (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

			NO TEMP REQUESTED	NEE	DS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			HENRY MORENO and LETICIA OCAMPO,		
			Maternal Grandparents, are Petitioners.		Need Notice of Hearing and proof
			Father: NOT LISTED		of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition at least
	Aff.Sub.Wit.				15 days prior to the hearing
>	Verified		Mother: RAMONA MORENO		pursuant to Probate Code §1511
	Inventory		- Consents and waives notice		or consent and waiver of notice
	PTC		Paternal Grandparents: Not listed	_	or declaration of due diligence on the father(s) and paternal
	Not.Cred.		Taternal Granaparems. Not listed		grandparents of the minor.
	Notice of	Х	Siblings: Angelina Perches, Liliana		
	Hrg		Perches, Nathaniel Lopez		
	Aff.Mail	Χ	Datitions are about a the amount of an in an in an in an in-		
	Aff.Pub.		Petitioners state the mother is a horrible role model. She doesn't want to take		
	Sp.Ntc.		care of them. She is willing to leave		
	Pers.Serv.	Χ	them here. They have been with		
~	Conf.		Petitioners for the last six years for David		
	Screen		and four years for Jovonni.		
>	Letters		Count Investigation Company that House		
>	Duties/Supp		Court Investigator Samantha Henson filed a report on 10-21-14.		
	Objections		med d repoir on 10-21-14.		
	Video				
	Receipt				
*	CI Report				
>	Clearances				
Ě	Order			Davi	ious al leur alco
	Aff. Posting				iewed by: skc iewed on: 10-24-14
—	Status Rpt UCCJEA				
	Citation				lates: commendation:
	FTB Notice				24 – Moreno & Perches
	115 HOICE			THE	24 - Moreno & Ferches

25 Atty Atty

Hernandez, Mauro (Pro Per – Paternal Uncle – Petitioner)

Hernandez, Maria C. (Pro Per – Paternal Aunt – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

			TEMP EXPIRES 10-29-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			MAURO and MARIA HERNANDEZ, paternal uncle	The mother has not been personally served with
			and aunt, are Petitioners.	Notice of Hearing and a
			Father: ARMANDO JIMENEZ	copy of the petition
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		– Consents and waives notice	pursuant to Probate Code §1511. Although the Court
>	Verified			Investigator's report states
	Inventory		Mother: KIMBERLY JIMENEZ	that the mother is in
	PTC		Paternal grandfather: EDUARDO RAMOS	agreement, she is still entitled to personal
	Not.Cred.		- Deceased	service. The Court may
>	Notice of		Paternal grandmother: MARIA RAMOS	require proper notice to
	Hrg		– Served by mail 9-4-14	the mother.
~	Aff.Mail	W	Mataraglarandfathar: TERRY LACKY	
	Aff.Pub.		Maternal grandfather: TERRY LACKY – Served by mail 9-4-14	
	Sp.Ntc.		Maternal grandmother: CATHY LACKY	
	Pers.Serv.	Χ	– Served by mail 9-4-14	
	Conf.			
_	Screen		Petitioners state the children were brought to	
	Letters		their home 6 months ago. When they arrived they appeared neglected and behind	
*	Duties/Supp		developmentally. They are now doing well. The	
	Objections		father was deported and is now residing in	
	Video Receipt		Tijuana, Mexico. The mother was using drugs and	
~	Cl Report		abusing alcohol.	
~	Clearances		Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report	
-	Order		on 10-21-14.	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10-27-14
~	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 25 – Jimenez

Weaver, Julia Ann (pro per – maternal great-grandmother/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 13			NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			JULIA ANN WEAVER, maternal greatgrandmother, is Petitioner.	Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for
✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp	w/	Father: THOMAS LLEWELLYN – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 09/08/14 Mother: KRYSTAL BURGIN – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 09/08/14 Paternal grandfather: RICK LLEWELLYN Paternal grandmother: RHONDA WEBSTER Maternal grandfather: MICHAEL STANSBERY – served by mail on 09/05/14 Maternal grandmother: KARLA STANSBERY – served by mail on 09/05/14 Petitioner states that she has been raising the minor since he was two years old. She has been able to meet his needs up to this point without	with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for: a. Rick Llewellyn (paternal grandfather) b. Rhonda Webster (paternal grandmother)
	Objections		guardianship, however, she has recently been told by a new health care	
	Video Receipt		provider that she will need documentation showing that she is the	
√	CI Report 9202		legal guardian in order to get him medical attention he may need in the	
✓	9202 Order		future.	
	Aff. Posting		Count Investigation Character the Discussion	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt		Court Investigator Charlotte Bien filed a report on 10/10/14.	Reviewed on: 10/27/14
✓	UCCJEA		1600 0 10/10/14. 	Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 26 – Llewellyn

26